

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,405	12/10/2003	Tadashi Mori	031320	3914
23850 7.	590 11/26/2004		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			ENGLE, PATRICIA LYNN	
1725 K STREE SUITE 1000	T, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		3612	

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	W			
Office Action Commence	10/731,405	MORI ET AL.	•			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patricia L Engle	3612	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication O (35 U.S.C. & 133).	on.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits i	is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.			•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Donors	•					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 December 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-			(d).			
	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:		-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ب				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachment(s)						
) 🔀 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
P) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
	-/					

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on December 11, 2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the JP 2002-358852 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Drawings

2. Figures 18-21 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification appears to be a translation that has not been reviewed. On pages 1-4, the specification refers to documents 1-4, these documents need to be properly introduced. On pages 8-11, the invention is summarized in relation to the claims, the references to the claims should be removed because the claims could change and then the specification may not correspond to the claims. Throughout the specification elements are used in the possessive form, but instead of the element being possessive the reference character is possessive, i.e., page 1, second paragraph, line 5, "this cab 83's" should be --this cab's 83 side--. Please review the entire specification for this.

Art Unit: 3612

Appropriate correction is required.

4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. The term "certain displacement" in claim 1 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "certain displacement" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. What is a certain displacement? How would one of ordinary skill in the art know when a certain displacement was reached?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3612

9. Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keechner et al. (US Patent 5,388,884).

Regarding claim 1, Keechner et al. disclose a cab supporting structure supporting a cab (20) against a vehicle frame (12) via an attenuation mechanism (36), wherein a regulation member (46), only when certain displacement is generated in the cab in the extension direction of the attenuation mechanism, regulating this displacement (46' and 46") is provided separately from this attenuation mechanism (36).

Regarding claim 2, Keechner et al. disclose the cab supporting structure according to claim 1, wherein said regulation member (46) has a cab side member (30) attached to the cab side, and this cab side member (30) is in a non-interferential relation with said vehicle frame side (12) in a normal condition (solid lines in Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 3, Keechner et al. disclose the cab supporting structure according to claim 1 or claim 2, wherein said regulation member (46) regulates displacement of the cab before (46' and 46") a stroke end of said attenuation mechanism (36).

Regarding claim 4, Keechner et al. disclose the cab supporting structure according to claim 1 or 2, wherein said regulation member (46) is arranged between a column (24) of the cab and the vehicle frame (12).

Regarding claim 6, Keechner et al. disclose the cab supporting structure according to claim 1 or 2, wherein, when rigidity of said cab is set to be larger at the rear side than at the front side, said regulation member is provided at least at the rear side of the cab (Fig. 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/731,405 Page 5

Art Unit: 3612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 12. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keehner et al. in view of Applicant's Admission (Figs. 17 and 20).

Keechner et al. disclose the cab supporting structure according to claim 1 or 2. Keehner et al. do not disclose that there is an operation machine. The Applicant's admit in Figs. 17 and 20 that a cab supporting structure with an operation machine and the attenuation device taught by Keehner et al. is known (Fig. 20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the regulation member as taught by Kehner et al. in a cab structure with an operation machine as taught by the Applicant's admission in Fig. 20.

Art Unit: 3612

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art discloses other regulation devices for cab structures.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L Engle whose telephone number is (703) 306-5777. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday from 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent.

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L Engle Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

ple

November 17, 2004